

☐ Declassified
 Class. Changed to: TS S C
 Next Review Date: 17 DEC 88
 Auth.: HR 70-3
 Date: 13 DEC 78 By: D15

MAY 20 1955

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Support)

SUBJECT : Organizational Proposal for the Production of Intelligence on International Communism

REFERENCE : Memo dtd 5 May 1955 to SA-DCI/PC fr A-DD/P, same subject, and Memo of DD/S dated 17 May 1955, subject as above.

1. With respect to the questions raised in (a) of your memo:

a. The \$200,000 recommended in paragraph 6 of the SA/PC/DCI memorandum is an additional requirement to the NIS Program for which [redacted] from ORR funds.

b. The \$200,000 is stated by [redacted] to be FY 1956.

c. These additional funds are not presently provided for in ORR budget plans.

2. With respect to the questions raised in (b) of your memo:

a. The SA/PC/DCI memorandum proposes an increase of twenty (20) positions in the International Communism Division of DDP/CI "through the addition of personnel doing similar work obtained from other parts of DD/P". This proposal is academic. The T/O approved for the CI Staff totals [redacted] As of 19 May 1955 there were only [redacted] of these positions filled - [redacted] vacancies. Furthermore, most of the [redacted] filled positions constituted the old Staff C/FI which was incorporated into the CI Staff.

b. Within the CI Staff, the Research and Analysis Division with a T/O of [redacted] positions have only [redacted] on board and the International Communism Division with a T/O of [redacted] [redacted] on board.

c. The CI Staff which was approved by the DCI on 13 December 1954 is experiencing considerable difficulty in finding professional competence with which to staff its approved ceiling. The area divisions are seeking new CI/CE type personnel and at the same time resisting the proselyting by CI Staff of what little competence they possess.

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

d. Facing the above facts, it is apparent that no increase in T/O for the DDP/CI Staff can be justified in the foreseeable future. //

2. With respect to the proposed [REDACTED] of \$200,000, I would suggest that more detailed specifications as to what [REDACTED] will do be established before any commitment is made. It is understood that the Congress disapproved a [REDACTED] budget request for FY 1956 for the type of studies which it is now proposed DDI/ORR will [REDACTED]. This raises the question as to whether we are being a party to contravening the will of Congress if we provide [REDACTED] for this purpose. I would suggest you discuss this question with Kirkpatrick who is quite knowledgeable on the subject.

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

[REDACTED]

Chief, Management Staff

- 2 -

~~SECRET~~~~CONFIDENTIAL~~